

## COCOMO and SCORM: Cost Estimation Model for Web-Based Training

Roger Smith U.S. Army PEO STRI



## **COSCOMO Prototype Project: Concept**

It is challenging for both sponsors and developers to estimate the expected level of effort, duration, and cost of developing webbased SCORM conformant courseware.

- Project Goal: create an interactive project estimation tool "COSCOMO" for ISD/SCORM content
  - Domain focus: ADL Sharable Content Object Reference Model (SCORM) conformant content
  - ISD methodology: Analysis, Design, Development Implementation, Evaluation (ADDIE) model
  - Algorithmic foundation: COCOMO II model for software project estimation



## **Applicability & Value to Community**

- Consistent, objective, and reliable estimation tool for SCORM content and projects
- First step in formalizing an estimation method in the ADL community
- Create a tool that other projects can apply, modify, and mature
  - COCOMO II has been evolving for 25 years.
  - COSCOMO prototype from this project will be the first step in the long evolution and improvement of a tool for this community

# **COCOMO Model Family**



## **COSCOMO** Algorithm

 $PM = A^*(Size)^E * \prod EM_i$ 

where

 $E = B + 0.01 * \Sigma SF_i$ 

COSCOMO

algorithm

### COCOMO II equation form

$$PM = A * (Size)^{E} * \prod_{i=1}^{15} EM_{i}$$

where

$$E = B + 0.01 * \sum_{j=1}^{5} SF_{j}$$

## COSCOMO Mods to the COCOMO II Input Variable Set

### • Size

- **NO** Source Lines of Code (SLOC)
- **NO** Design Modification (DM)
- **NO** Code Modification (CM)
- No- Integration (IM)
- Assessment (AA)
- **NO** Understanding (SU)
- Nn- Unfamiliarity (UNFAM)
  - Requirements Evolution (REVL)
- Product Effort Multipliers (EM)
  - Required Reliability (RELY)
- NO- Database Size (DATA)
  - Product Complexity (CPLX)
  - Required Reuse (RUSE)
  - Documentation (DOCU)
- Platform EM
- **NO** Execution Time Constraints (TIME)
  - Main Storage Constraints (STORE)

**NO**- Platform Volatility (PVOL)

- Personnel EM
  - Analyst Capability (ACAP)
  - Programmer Capability (PCAP)
  - Personnel Continuity (PCON)
  - Applications Experience (APEX)
  - Platform Experience (PLEX)
  - Language/Toolset Experience (LTEX)
- Project EM
  - Use of Software Tools (TOOL)
  - Multisite Development (SITE)
  - Required Development Schedule (SCED)
- Scale Drivers
  - Development Flexibility (FLEX)
  - Process Maturity (PMAT)
  - Precedentedness (PREC)
  - Arch/Risk Resolution (RESL)
  - Team Cohesion (TEAM)

### Scale Factors: Effect on Project Cost Estimates on Project Cost

when the input values for the five scale factors are at their default 'Nominal' levels, the scale factors have no impact on the estimated cost value

higher than nominal scale factor value levels reduce the estimated cost; lower than nominal scale factor value levels increase the estimated cost



## Effort Multipliers: Effect on Project Cost Estimates (con't)



One use of the COSCOMO tool output graph is to aid in identifying which variables are driving the estimate. In this notional graph, high senior team capability (SCAP) and high development team capability (DCAP) are contributing significantly to reducing the project cost.

### **COSCOMO Tool Prototype - Screenshot #1** ×

#### COSCOMO - v1.0

COSCOMO Constructive Constructi	Cost Model for nant Courseware
General & Size Info Scale Factors Product Effort Mult.'s Personnel Effort Mult.'s	Platform Effort Mult.'s   Project Effort Mult.'s   === Results ===
Courseware Name: SCORM Version:	Courseware hours in final product         Hours of Courseware at each of the 4 SCORM levels of instruction:       Level 1       Level 2       Level 3       Level 4         Hours of Courseware: 0       Level-Adjusted Hours of Courseware: 0
Customer Organization: Developer POC Name: Telephone: E-mail:	Reuse of Existing Courseware       reuse adjusted hours of courseware:         When considering content, media, and code, what percentage of the final product will be       reuse adjusted hours of courseware:         Brand new?       2         Reused after some modification?       2         Reused without modification?       2         total:       0%
ADDIE Phase Distribution   Specify the breakdown of the project's effort by ADDIE phases.   (A) Analysis   %   (D) Design   %   (D) Development   %   (I) Implementation   %   (E) Evaluation	Requirements Evolution         Percent of Work Discarded Due to Requirements Evolution:         2         Total Adjusted Courseware Size (in Equivalent Hours of Courseware) = 0         Clear All Inputs

### **COSCOMO Tool Prototype - Screenshot #2**

SCOMO - v1.0					
COS	COIM	Constru SCORM-C	ctive Cost Mode onformant Cours	el for seware	
General & Size Info Sc	ale Factors Product Effo	ort Mult.'s Personnel Eff	ort Mult.'s Platform Ef	fort Mult.'s Project Effort Mult.'s === Results ===	
Rate the capability lev	el of the personnel who w	ork on high-level instructi	ional, technical, and ar	tistic design. 🛛 🛜	
- Senior ISD, Humar	n Performance Team (	Capability (SCAP)			
C [15th percentile]	C 35th percentile	C 55th percentile	75th percentile	C 90th percentile	
Rate the capability of the efficiency, thoroughness	he developers as a team ss, and the ability to comn	rather than as individual nunicate and cooperate.	s. Major factors which	should be considered in the rating are ability,	
- ISD, Human Perfor	rmance Team Capabili	ty (DCAP)			
C 15th percentile	35th percentile	C 55th percentile	C 75th percentile	C 90th percentile	
Characterize the project	ct's annual personnel turn	over. 🛜		K	
- Personnel Continu	ity (PCON)				
C Turnover of 48% per year	C Turnover of 24% per year	C Turnover of 12% per year	<ul> <li>Turnover of 6% per year</li> </ul>	C Turnover of 3% per year	
Rate the level of course terms of the project tea	eware applications experiments equivalent experience	rience of the project team re level with web-based (	n developing the softwo courseware or coursev	are system or subsystem. The ratings are defined in vare in general, not just SCORM-compliant courseware.	
Courseware Applic	cations Experience (AP	EX)	inge to		
C 3 months	C 1 year	2 years	C 3 years	C 6 years	
Rate the team's experi	ence developing coursev	ware for the deployment	platform (LMS, web se	rver, database, operating system, and network). 🗾	
Platform Experience	ce (PLEX)	144.00 P. 100.0			
C 3 months	I year	C 2 years	C 3 years	C 6 years	
Rate the team's experi	ence with the developme	nt tools that will be used	on the project. 🛛 👩		
- Development Tool	s Experience (DTEX) -		_		
C 3 months	C 1 year	C 2 years	C 3 years	C 6 years	

### **COSCOMO Tool Prototype - Screenshot #3**

#### COSCOMO - v1.0



- 15% Development => 1.1 Person Months
- 15% Implementation => 1.1 Person Months
- 20% Implementation => 1.5 Person Months

Export Data



stage 4 (con't from stage 3): Effect of Platform & Project Effort Mult.Inputs



11

# Reliability = PRED(30)

- Reliability of COCOMO family of models is often measured by the percentage of test cases that it will estimate within 30% of the actual project costs
  - e.g. If a project requires 300 person-months to complete, then its PRED(30) range would be (210 to 390)
  - If the model estimates 70% of its test cases within this range then the model's PRED(30) = 70%
- COCOMO Family Model Levels
  - COCOMO II (2000): PRED(30) = 69%
  - COSYSMO: PRED(30) = 56%
- COSCOMO: PRED(30) = 43% (with only 9 initial data points)



- This project is the first step in formalizing a cost estimation method in the ADL community
  - COSCOMO tool prototype is the first step in the long evolution and improvement of a tool for the ADL community
    - » keep in mind: COCOMO II has been evolving for 25 years
- Historical project data collection is essential, but it is also very difficult to get access and cooperation from the people with this information
  - Have currently collected data on 9 projects
  - 40+ projects needed to calibrate the model appropriately
- GUI prototype of the COSCOMO tool is ready for early adoption by the ADL community
  - Not a polished, "shrink-wrapped" product, but more refined and user friendly than a raw spreadsheet
  - Available at http://www.jointadlcolab.org

### **Points of Contact**

### Joint ADL CoLab

**Dean Marvin:** Dean.Marvin2@us.army.mil **Susan Marshall:** Susan.Marshall1@us.army.mil

### **SPARTA**, Inc.

Mike Garnsey: Mike.Garnsey@sparta.com Lacey Edwards: Lacey.Edwards@sparta.com

### **PEO STRI**

Roger Smith: Roger.Smith14@us.army.mil

### **General Dynamics Information Technology**

Kelly Ward: Kelly.Ward@gdit.com